
The Structure and Economic Impact of Utah's Oil and Gas Exploration and Production Industry Phase I - The Uinta Basin

Prepared for:

Public Land Policy Coordination Office

Utah Governor's Office
5110 State Office Building
P. O. Box 141107
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Prepared by:

Bureau of Economic and Business Research

University of Utah
1645 East Campus Center Drive
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

November 2007

Table of Contents

The Structure and Economic Impact of Utah's Oil and Gas Industry

1	Executive Summary	1
2	Background	2
3	Utah's Oil and Gas Industry	6
	3.1 Uinta Basin	9
4	Economic Impacts	19
	4.1 Uinta Basin	19
5	Fiscal Impacts	22
	5.1 Uinta Basin	25
6	Technical Notes and Methodology	27
	6.1 NAICS Codes Related to Oil and Gas Production	28
	6.2 Economic Impact Modeling	29
7	References	31

List of Tables

Table 1	U.S. Crude Oil and Natural Gas Production by PADD, 2002-2005	5
Table 2	Land Ownership in the Uinta Basin	10
Table 3	Uinta Basin Crude Oil Production, 1997-2006	10
Table 4	Uinta Basin Natural Gas Production (Gross Withdrawals), 1997-2006 .	11
Table 5	Wells Spudded in the Uinta Basin, 1997-2006	12
Table 6	Uinta Basin Population, 2002-2006	12
Table 7	Employment by Industry in the Uinta Basin, 2006	15
Table 8	Oil and Gas E&P Employment in the Uinta Basin, 2001-2006	16
Table 9	Average Annual Wage by Industry in the Uinta Basin, 2006	18
Table 10	Oil and Gas E&P Average Annual Wages in the Uinta Basin, 2001-2006	19
Table 11	Direct Employment and Wages in the E&P Industry in the Uinta Basin, 2006	20
Table 12	Employment Due to Oil and Gas E&P in the Uinta Basin, 2006	21
Table 13	Wages Due to Oil and Gas E&P in the Uinta Basin, 2006	22
Table 14	Federal Mineral Royalty Payments and Disbursements for Utah, 2001-2006	23
Table 15	Distribution of Federal Mineral Royalties in Utah	24
Table 16	State Tax Collections Related to Oil and Gas Production, 2001-2006 .	25
Table 17	Oil and Gas Property Tax Payments in the Uinta Basin, 1997-2006 . . .	26
Table 18	Federal Mineral Royalties Returned by UDOT to the Uinta Basin, 2001-2006	26
Table 19	Royalties Paid for Production on SITLA Lands in the Uinta Basin, 2005-2006	27
Table 20	Personal State Income Taxes due to Oil and Gas E&P in the Uinta Basin	27

List of Figures

Figure 1	Crude Oil Price: NYMEX Near Month Contract for Light Sweet Crude . .	2
Figure 2	Average U.S. Wellhead Price of Natural Gas	3
Figure 3	Petroleum Administration for Defense Districts	4
Figure 4	Utah Crude Oil Production	6
Figure 5	Utah Marketed Natural Gas Production	7
Figure 6	Employment and the Unemployment Rate in the Uinta Basin	13

List of Acronyms & Abbreviations

BCF	Billion Cubic Feet
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BLS	Bureau of Labor Statistics
DOGM	Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining
E&P	Exploration and Production
IPAMS	Independent Petroleum Association of the Mountain States
MCF	Thousand Cubic Feet
MMCF	Million Cubic Feet
NAICS	North American Industry Classification System
NYMEX	New York Mercantile Exchange
PADD	Petroleum Administration for Defense District
SIC	Standard Industrial Codes
SITLA	School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration
RIMS II	Regional Input-Output Modeling System
UDOT	Utah Department of Transportation
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
WTI	West Texas Intermediate Crude

The Structure and Economic Impact of Utah's Oil and Gas Industry

1 Executive Summary

The Bureau of Economic and Business Research at the University of Utah has completed an economic impact study of the oil and gas exploration and production industry in the Uinta Basin in eastern Utah. The Uinta Basin, comprising Duchesne and Uintah Counties, is the center of the oil and gas industry in Utah. Rapidly rising energy prices in recent years have stimulated greater production of both crude oil and natural gas in the northern Rocky Mountains, and the Uinta Basin is an integral part of the oil and gas industry in the Rocky Mountain area. The 2006 crude oil production in the Uinta Basin of 11.4 million barrels was a 55 percent increase over a recent low of 7.3 million barrels in 2002. Natural gas production in the area has steadily increased over the past 10 years and reached an all-time high of 226 BCF in 2006.

The rise in oil and gas activity is causing an economic boom in the Uinta Basin. During 2006, the oil and gas exploration and production industry was directly responsible for 19.9 percent of employment and 34.8 percent of total wages in the Uinta Basin. When including indirect and induced impacts due to company and employee spending, the oil and gas industry accounted for 49.5 percent of employment and 60.1 percent of total wages paid in the Uinta Basin during 2006.

The industry also has a sizeable fiscal impact on local governments in the Uinta Basin. Property taxes paid on producing oil and gas wells were \$18.2 million in 2006 and accounted for 38.7 percent of all property taxes paid in the two counties. Federal mineral royalties distributed to the two counties by the Utah Department of Transportation during 2006 amounted to \$30.3 million.

2 Background

The recent rise in the price of gasoline has refocused attention on the energy markets with attention not seen since the collapse of oil prices in the mid 1980s. In contrast to the energy shortage of the 1970s, which was largely driven by constrained supply due to geopolitical issues, the recent runup is a result of increasing demand and decreasing supply from aging fields. Crude oil, and to a lesser extent natural gas, is a worldwide commodity with international supply and demand factors determining prices. Consumption of petroleum products is up worldwide, with developing countries driving the increase. Consumption of petroleum in China was up over 30 percent from 2002 to 2006. This rise in demand for petroleum products has resulted in a dramatic increase in the nominal price of crude oil (Figure 1).




Figure 1 **Crude Oil Price: NYMEX Near Month Contract for Light Sweet Crude**

Source: Energy Information Administration

The price of crude oil was relatively flat during the 1990s with prices in the \$20 to \$30 range. Then, from a low of \$11.31 per barrel in December 1998, crude oil increased to over \$70 per barrel in April 2006 and reached \$79.63 in September

2007. Forecasts expect the crude oil price to remain near current levels in the future. In September 2007 the Energy Information Administration forecast the price of West Texas Intermediate Crude¹ would remain over \$71 per barrel through the end of 2008.

At the same time, natural gas prices have increased from historically low values in the late 1990s to a current price of about \$7 per mcf, with increased volatility in recent years (Figure 2). Natural gas is more of a regional commodity than crude oil, with more dependence on local supply and demand factors. The necessity of transporting natural gas by pipeline results in availability of transportation infrastructure having a large influence on natural gas prices. Currently, there is a shortage of pipeline capacity in the Rocky Mountains and wellhead natural gas prices in the area are depressed compared to the rest of the country.




Figure 2 Average U.S. Wellhead Price of Natural Gas
Source: Energy Information Administration

¹West Texas Intermediate (WTI) refers to a crude stream produced in Texas and Oklahoma that is the most common reference or “marker” for pricing crude oil and, along with several other domestic and foreign crude streams, is acceptable for settling New York Mercantile Exchange contracts for light, sweet crude oil.

While increased demand in the Pacific Rim has driven petroleum prices, demand has also increased in the U.S. Domestic crude oil production has declined from a high value of 3.5 billion barrels in 1970 to 1.9 billion barrels in 2006. Even with additional drilling in response to higher prices, domestic crude oil production is dropping due to geologic constraints. The Rocky Mountain states are the only area in the country currently experiencing significant increases in production of crude oil and natural gas. Of the five Petroleum Administration for Defense Districts (PADD) (Figure 3) used for analyzing petroleum data, crude oil and natural gas production are increasing only in PADD I (the East Coast) and in PADD IV (the Rocky Mountains).



Figure 3 Petroleum Administration for Defense Districts (PADD)

Source: Energy Information Administration

The East Coast is responsible for less than one-half of one percent of domestic crude oil production and three percent of natural gas production. From 2002 to 2005, the amount of crude oil produced in the Rocky Mountains increased by 20.4 percent while production on the Gulf Coast (PADD III), the largest producing area in the country, dropped by 12.8 percent. The center for production of natural gas in the United States is also shifting from the Gulf Coast to the Rocky Mountains. In 1982, PADD III was responsible for 75.5 percent of U.S. natural gas production and PADD IV produced 4.2 percent. By 2005, the amount of domestic gas produced in PADD III had dropped to 62.5 percent of total production while the amount from

PADD IV had increased to 17.0 percent. Additionally, natural gas production in the Rocky Mountains is increasing approximately five percent annually. The increase in crude oil and natural gas production in the Rocky Mountain states is creating an economic boom in the producing areas.

Table 1 U.S. Crude Oil and Natural Gas Production by PADD, 2002-2005

	PADD I	PADD II	PADD III	PADD IV	PADD V	United States Total
Crude Oil, thousand barrels						
2002	7,458	164,635	1,174,305	102,982	947,745	2,097,124
2003	7,170	161,360	1,162,869	105,931	636,123	2,073,453
2004	6,941	159,309	1,103,743	113,069	600,239	1,983,302
2005	8,299	161,587	1,023,499	123,956	572,765	1,890,106
Percent Change, 2002-2005	11.3	(1.9)	(12.8)	20.4	(39.6)	(9.9)
Dry Natural Gas, MMCF						
2002	453,774	2,432,537	12,622,766	2,641,749	776,962	18,927,788
2003	521,824	2,336,271	12,662,381	2,797,202	780,866	19,098,544
2004	520,240	2,428,676	11,960,955	2,935,503	745,517	18,590,891
2005	522,997	2,413,736	11,298,362	3,075,234	763,907	18,074,237
Percent Change, 2002-2005	15.3	(0.8)	(10.5)	16.4	(1.7)	(4.5)
Source: Energy Information Administration						

Despite the common perception of being vertically integrated, the oil and gas industry is highly fragmented, especially at the exploration and production stage. Many companies concentrate exclusively on oil and gas production and have no interest in downstream operations such as pipelines, refineries and product distribution. Additionally, much of the work conducted in the producing fields is contracted to other companies that specialize in different aspects of drilling and maintaining the wells. Few of the operating companies operate their own drill rigs but instead contract with companies that specialize in drilling. Other companies specialize in different operations such as grading well locations, well surveying, running and pulling well casings, cementing wells, and perforating well casings. The operating, drilling and service companies collectively constitute the oil and gas exploration and production industry.

Many other industries benefit from spending by the oil and gas industry. These include consulting geologists and engineering companies, environmental consultants, vendors of oil field equipment and pipeline and trucking companies. Spending by oil industry employees also benefits the local economy. These economic benefits beyond direct employment in the exploration and production industry are known as indirect and induced benefits, and are the source of the “multiplier” effect. This study examines the structure of the Utah oil and gas

exploration and production industry and the total economic impact on the producing areas.

3 Utah's Oil and Gas Industry

The Utah oil and gas industry started in 1891, when a water well being drilled in Farmington Bay near the Great Salt Lake encountered natural gas at a depth of 1,000 feet. Gas from several wells in this area was transported to Salt Lake City through wooden pipelines for several years until shifting sand in the lakebed plugged the wells. The first oil was found in the early 1900s near Rozel Point at the north end of the Great Salt Lake, near Mexican Hat in southeastern Utah and near the town of Virgin in southwestern Utah. The first large-scale commercial oil well was drilled near Vernal in 1948. Since the early 1960s, Utah has consistently ranked in the top 15 oil-producing states and in recent years has experienced a dramatic rise in natural gas production. During 2005, Utah ranked 15th in crude oil production out of 31 states and two Federal Offshore Areas and 11th in dry natural gas production out of 33 states and the Federal Offshore Area in the Gulf of Mexico.

Utah is contributing to the recent growth in crude oil and natural gas production taking place in the Rocky Mountain states (PADD IV). The state's 2006 crude oil production of 17.9 million barrels was a 37 percent increase over the recent low of 13.1 million barrels produced in 2003 (Figure 4). Although a substantial increase from the recent past, 2006's output was still only 44 percent of the all-time high of 41.1 million barrels produced in 1985.




Figure 4 Utah Crude Oil Production
Source: Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

There has been a similar rise in natural gas production in Utah. In 2006, Utah's marketed natural gas production hit an all-time high of 343 BCF, up 502 percent from 57 BCF in 1976.




Figure 5 Utah Marketed Natural Gas Production
Source: Utah Geological Survey

Not all gross withdrawals of natural gas are marketed to consumers. Low prices of natural gas during the late 1980s and early 1990s resulted in much of the gas produced in Utah at the time not being marketable. A large portion of the gas withdrawn from wells in Utah during this period was reinjected into the geologic formations to maintain pressure and oil production. The amount of gas used for repressuring in Utah reached a high in 1983, when 65 percent of gross withdrawals were reinjected to maintain pressure. Currently, approximately 95 percent of natural gas withdrawals in Utah are marketed. Most of the gas that is not marketed is used for fuel at the production site or is accounted for by nonhydrocarbon gases that are removed from the production stream prior to marketing.

Average production per well of both crude oil and natural gas has been declining in Utah, so additional drilling will have to continue to maintain production at current levels. Although natural gas production has been steadily rising and crude oil production in Utah has rebounded in recent years, production per individual well has been declining. Natural gas production per gas well peaked at 740 MMCF per gas

well in 1962. Natural gas production per gas well steadily declined to 67 MMCF per well in 2000 before rising to 84 MMCF per well in 2006. Similarly, crude oil production per oil well peaked at 57,330 barrels per well in 1959 and dropped to 6,727 barrels per well in 2003. Crude oil production per well in Utah was 7,308 barrels during 2006.

During 2006, 129 different operating companies reported crude oil and natural gas production to the Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining. Production occurred in 11 of Utah's 29 counties. Duchesne County had the highest oil production with 6,401,299 barrels while Uintah County led natural gas production with gross withdrawals of 203,522,421 MCF.

Six different areas in Utah currently have significant production of oil or natural gas. These areas are defined by geology. Additionally, these areas are somewhat isolated from one another economically, especially in terms of the oil and gas exploration and production (E&P) industry. The major oil and gas producing area in Utah is the Uinta Basin in the northeastern part of the state. Vernal is a center of the oil and gas industry in the Uinta Basin with many of the producing, drilling and service companies maintaining offices in the area. Other producing areas in Utah include coalbed methane plays in Carbon and Emery Counties, the Paradox Basin in San Juan County, the Uncompahgre Uplift in Grand County, the Thrust Belt in Summit County and the recently discovered Hingeline in the central part of the state.

The Paradox Basin, Uncompahgre Uplift, and Thrust Belts all extend over state lines to adjacent states. Many of the workers involved in operating wells in these areas are actually employed in other states. Coalbed methane operations in Carbon and Emery Counties and the Hingeline are fairly recent discoveries and an oil service industry has not developed in these areas.

Defining the oil and gas E&P industry is a key element for a study of this type. Economists use the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) developed by the Office of Management and Budget for classifying industries for reporting employment and earnings. The NAICS codes are divided into 20 major industrial sectors. These major sectors are then further subdivided as necessary.

The NAICS codes have three industrial classifications that directly apply to the oil and gas E&P industry. These are NAICS 211 - Oil and Gas Extraction, NAICS 213111 - Drilling Oil and Gas Wells, and NAICS 213112 - Support Activities for Oil and Gas Operations. For purposes of this study, these three industries are collectively considered the oil and gas E&P industry. Additional information on the NAICS codes for these three industries is available in Section 6.

The following section summarize oil and gas production in Duchesne and Uintah Counties. Also included are economic data for Duchesne and Uintah Counties to place the oil and gas E&P industry in context.

3.1 Uinta Basin

The Uinta Basin in northeastern Utah is the largest oil and gas producing area in the state and a significant producer in the Rocky Mountains. Natural gas was first discovered in economic quantities in the Uinta Basin in 1925 at the Ashley Valley field. In 1949, oil was discovered in the Roosevelt field. Natural gas and crude oil have been produced in the Uinta Basin since then, although production and the accompanying economic impact have varied with prices. The Uinta Basin is currently experiencing a significant economic boom due to increased oil and gas activity. This boom should continue as long as energy prices remain at current or higher levels.

Although the geologic area defined as the Uinta Basin extends into Colorado and includes portions of several other Utah counties (Carbon, Emery, Grand, Wasatch, and Utah), this study focuses on Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Economic data is released at the county level and almost all of the economic activity associated with E&P activities in the Uinta Basin occurs in these two counties. For this study, the term Uinta Basin refers to Duchesne and Uintah Counties, collectively unless otherwise indicated.

The two counties contain just under five million acres (Table 2), with 54 percent of the land controlled by the federal government. After including land controlled by the state government and Indian lands, only 21.8 percent of the Uinta Basin is privately owned. With such a large portion of the land controlled by the federal government, the oil and gas E&P industry is highly sensitive to changes in federal land management policy. The largest amount of federal land in the Uinta Basin is controlled by the Bureau of Land Management, which is responsible for 32.7 percent of the land in the two counties. An additional 14.6 percent is administered by the U.S. Forest Service. Lesser amounts are controlled by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Park Service.

The majority of the state land in the basin is controlled by the Utah School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration (SITLA). SITLA administers six percent of the land in the two counties. Lesser amounts are controlled by the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources and the Utah Division of State Parks and Recreation. Indian lands make up 16 percent of the Uinta Basin.

Table 2 Land Ownership in the Uinta Basin

	Duchesne County, acres	Uintah County, acres	Uinta Basin Total, acres	Percent of Total
Bureau of Land Management	206,552	1,411,944	1,618,496	32.7
US Forest Service	453,680	269,380	723,060	14.6
National Wildlife Refuge	0	8,975	8,975	0.2
USFS and BLM Wilderness	263,882	0	263,882	5.3
National Park Service	0	50,682	50,682	1.0
Total Federal	924,115	1,740,981	2,665,096	53.9
State Parks	3,723	956	4,679	0.1
State Wildlife Lands	76,206	9,707	85,913	1.7
State Trust Lands	54,357	240,602	294,959	6.0
Total State Lands	134,287	251,264	385,551	7.8
Indian Lands	395,848	423,353	819,201	16.6
Private	614,070	461,646	1,075,716	21.8
Total	2,068,318	2,877,244	4,945,562	100.0
Source: Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget				

Production of both crude oil and natural gas have increased in recent years in the Uinta Basin (Tables 3-4). From a low of 7.3 million barrels in 2002, crude oil production in the two counties increased to 11.4 million barrels in 2006. Production is rising faster in the Uinta Basin than in Utah as a whole. While crude oil production increased 55.5 percent in the basin from 2002 to 2006, production in the state as a whole increased by 30.2 percent. In 1997, 48.5 percent of the crude oil produced in Utah came out of the basin. By 2006, the amount of the state's crude oil production originating in the Uinta Basin had increased to 63.4 percent.

Table 3 Uinta Basin Crude Oil Production, 1997-2006

	Crude Oil, barrels			
	Duchesne County	Uintah County	Uinta Basin Total	State Total
1997	6,358,598	3,147,423	9,506,021	19,592,548
1998	6,268,634	2,940,615	9,209,249	19,223,542
1999	4,697,532	2,637,875	7,335,407	16,376,521
2000	4,772,096	2,788,908	7,561,004	15,609,030
2001	4,980,167	3,195,205	8,175,372	15,273,926
2002	4,291,457	3,016,376	7,307,833	13,770,860
2003	4,341,306	3,069,047	7,410,353	13,098,424
2004	5,838,429	3,776,762	9,615,191	14,799,208
2005	6,670,272	4,371,478	11,041,750	16,675,302
2006	6,401,299	4,959,425	11,360,724	17,926,580
Percent of State Total, 2006	35.7	27.7	63.4	100.00
Source: Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining				

The rise in natural gas production has been even more dramatic than that of crude oil. Over the past 10 years, gas production from the basin has steadily grown from 81 BCF in 1997 to 226 BCF in 2006, a 178 percent increase (Table 4). Uintah County has been the site of most of this growth. Production in Uintah County increased by 236 percent from 1997 to 2006, and the county was responsible for 57.1 percent of the natural gas produced in Utah during 2006.

Table 4 Uinta Basin Natural Gas Production (Gross Withdrawals), 1997-2006

	Natural Gas, MCF			
	Duchesne County	Uintah County	Uinta Basin Total	State Total
1997	20,631,221	60,599,426	81,230,647	272,553,774
1998	19,204,848	70,621,273	89,826,121	297,503,246
1999	15,352,521	72,154,481	87,507,002	277,494,312
2000	13,934,444	83,100,193	97,034,637	281,170,016
2001	13,933,698	93,909,207	107,842,905	300,975,578
2002	12,476,159	104,385,705	116,861,864	293,030,004
2003	11,954,655	111,241,438	123,196,093	287,141,238
2004	14,641,315	132,454,516	147,095,831	293,735,994
2005	20,089,535	163,830,925	183,920,460	313,465,305
2006	22,525,615	203,522,421	226,048,036	356,361,028
Percent of State Total, 2006	6.32	57.11	63.43	100.0
Source: Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining				

The rising production is reflected in increased drilling activity in Duchesne and Uintah Counties (Table 5). From a low of 150 oil and gas wells spudded in the basin during 1999, the number increased to 933 wells spudded in 2006. As with production, drilling activity in Utah is focused in the Uinta Basin. During 2006, of a total of 1,056 oil and gas wells spudded in Utah, 88.3 percent were drilled in the Uinta Basin.

Table 5 Wells Spudded in the Uinta Basin, 1997-2006

	Wells Spudded			
	Duchesne County	Uintah County	Uinta Basin Total	State Total
1997	160	154	314	430
1998	123	186	309	430
1999	10	140	150	283
2000	63	289	352	540
2001	74	386	460	627
2002	44	226	270	391
2003	89	333	422	480
2004	166	441	607	659
2005	183	569	752	889
2006	279	654	933	1,057
Percent of State Total, 2006	26.4	61.9	88.3	100.00
Source: Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining				

While production of both crude oil and natural gas is increasing in the Uinta Basin, this increase must be placed in the context of the total economy for the two counties.

The Uinta Basin had an estimated 2006 population of 43,332, up 6.1 percent from 2002 (Table 6). Major cities included Vernal, with an estimated 2006 population of 8,163, Roosevelt (4,681), Duchesne (1,506) and Naples (1,502). The 2000 Decennial Census determined that 39.3 percent of the population lives in the two urban areas of Vernal and Roosevelt. The remainder of the two counties is not densely enough populated to be considered urban.² Although they contained almost 40 percent of the population of the two counties, the two urban areas account for only 0.18 percent of the land area in the Uinta Basin.

Table 6 Uinta Basin Population, 2002-2006

	Population			
	Duchesne County	Uintah County	Uinta Basin Total	State Total
2002	14,856	25,984	40,840	2,358,330
2003	14,698	26,019	40,717	2,413,618
2004	14,933	26,224	41,157	2,469,230
2005	15,237	26,883	42,120	2,547,389
2006	15,585	27,747	43,332	2,615,129
Source: Utah Population Estimates Committee				

²The Bureau of the Census defines urban areas as census blocks that have a population density of at least 1,000 persons per square mile and surrounding census blocks with a population density of 500 persons per square mile. Adjacent census blocks with a lower population density are also included if they meet additional criteria established by the Bureau of the Census.

The Uinta Basin is benefitting economically from the oil and gas boom; its unemployment rate has consistently been lower than the state average since August 2005. As energy prices have increased, employment in the Uinta Basin has risen, from approximately 14,500 persons in 1997 to over 25,000 persons in mid-2007 (Figure 6). The unemployment rate in the area has declined since the middle of 2002 after reaching a high of 10.1 percent in February 1999.




Figure 6 Employment and the Unemployment Rate in the Uinta Basin
Source: BLS, Local Area Unemployment Statistics

The industrial structure of the basin is significantly different from that of the state of Utah (Table 7). Mining, which includes oil and gas production, is responsible for over 20 percent of the employment in the Uinta Basin, compared with 0.9 percent of employment in Utah. The Uinta Basin is nearly 25 times more dependent on the mining industry for employment than is Utah as a whole, as indicated by a location quotient of 24.9³. While the majority of mining employment in the basin is due to oil and gas production, there are other mining operations present. Significant mining operations in the Uinta Basin other than oil and gas extraction are the SF

³Location Quotients are the ratio of an industry's share of employment in a study area, in this case the Uinta Basin, to its share in a reference area, e.g., the state of Utah.

Phosphates Ltd. mine north of Vernal and three gilsonite operations by American Gilsonite, Lexco, Inc., and Ziegler Mineral and Chemical. These other mining operations in the Uinta Basin employ an estimated 270 persons.

Other differences in industrial structure include a much lower reliance on Manufacturing and Educational Services for employment and a higher percentage of employment in Utilities, Transportation, Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting, Real Estate and Government. The fairly high location quotient for Utilities, 2.60, is largely due to the presence of the Deseret Power Bonanza Power Plant south of Vernal. Transportation and Warehousing also has a high location quotient of 1.71. Much of the crude oil produced in the Uinta Basin contains a wax that solidifies below 105 F. This results in difficulties in shipping the crude oil to refineries via pipeline so the oil must be sent by tank truck. Government is commonly a significant employer in areas with large amounts of public land due to the presence of federal land-managing agencies.

Industries with low location quotients in the Uinta Basin include Manufacturing and Educational Services. Manufacturing has a location quotient of 0.18, indicating that the basin is only 18 percent as dependent on Manufacturing for employment as is the state of Utah. Similarly, the location quotient for Educational Services is 0.13, suggesting that there are few private educational facilities in the Uinta Basin.

Several major industries have employment data that is nondisclosable for Duchesne or Uintah Counties. This is done to protect individual company data. These industries are Management of Companies and Enterprises (NAICS 55), Administrative and Support Services (NAICS 56), Arts, Entertainment and Recreation (NAICS 71), and Accommodation and Food Services (NAICS 72). Since employment numbers are not available for these industries, location quotients can not be calculated. Data for these industries are included in the total employment figures.

Table 7 Employment by Industry in the Uinta Basin, 2006

	Duchesne County	Uintah County	Uinta Basin	Distribution, Percent	Location Quotient
Private Employment					
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting (NAICS 11)	37	77	114	0.6	1.51
Mining (NAICS 21)	981	3,248	4,229	21.3	24.92
Utilities (NAICS 22)	44	134	178	0.9	2.60
Construction (NAICS 23)	645	834	1,479	7.5	0.92
Manufacturing (NAICS 31-32)	151	224	375	1.9	0.18
Wholesale Trade (NAICS 42)	129	532	661	3.3	0.87
Retail Trade (NAICS 44-45)	752	1,471	2,223	11.2	0.93
Transportation and Warehousing (NAICS 48-49)	522	718	1,240	6.2	1.71
Information (NAICS 51)	172	143	315	1.6	0.59
Finance and Insurance (NAICS 52)	119	180	299	1.5	0.33
Real Estate (NAICS 53)	51	352	403	2.0	1.35
Professional, Scientific and Technical Services (NAICS 54)	79	339	418	2.1	0.40
Management of Companies and Enterprises (NAICS 55)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Administrative and Support (NAICS 56)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Educational Services (NAICS 61)	20	22	42	0.2	0.13
Health Care (NAICS 62)	446	831	1,277	6.4	0.74
Arts, Entertainment and Recreation (NAICS 71)	ND	59	ND	ND	ND
Accommodation and Food Services (NAICS 72)	ND	883	ND	ND	ND
Other Services (NAICS 81)	157	344	501	2.5	1.01
Government Employment	1,716	2,577	4,293	21.6	1.32
Total Employment	6,560	13,292	19,852	100.0	1.00
ND: Not disclosed to protect individual company information. Data are included in the totals.					
Source: BLS, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages					

Direct employment in the oil and gas E&P industry has been rising in recent years as increased production was stimulated by higher energy prices (Table 8). The employment for oil and gas extraction is not disclosed for Duchesne County to protect individual company data. However, employment for this industry is estimated at 452 individuals for 2006⁴. Estimated employment by the oil and gas E&P industry is therefore estimated at 974 persons in Duchesne County and was 2,985 persons in Uintah County during 2006. The direct employment of 3,959 persons in the oil and gas E&P industry accounts for 19.9 percent of the total 2006 employment of 19,852 persons in the Uinta Basin.

Table 8 Oil and Gas E&P Employment in the Uinta Basin, 2001-2006

	NAICS 211 Oil and Gas Extraction	NAICS 213111 Drilling Oil and Gas Wells	NAICS 213112 Support Activities for Oil and Gas Operations	Total Oil and Gas Direct Employment
Duchesne County				
2001	ND	138	223	GT 361
2002	ND	140	203	GT 343
2003	ND	57	205	GT 262
2004	ND	58	237	GT 295
2005	ND	68	307	GT 375
2006	ND	102	420	GT 522
Uintah County				
2001	68	368	940	1,376
2002	76	278	973	1,327
2003	181	441	943	1,564
2004	186	508	1,136	1,830
2005	206	587	1,461	2,254
2006	278	913	1,794	2,985
GT: Greater Than				
ND: Not disclosable to protect individual company data.				
Source: BLS. Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages				

Total Uintah County employment in the three NAICS industries involved in oil and gas production increased by 117 percent from 2001 to 2006. Total employment for Duchesne County over time is difficult to discern due to employment for Oil and Gas Extraction (NAICS 211) not being nondisclosed. Duchesne County employment in

⁴For 2006, the BLS lists total Mining (NAICS 21) employment as 981. Of the three subcategories at the three-digit NAICS level, employment is nondisclosable for Oil and Gas Extraction (NAICS 211) and Mining, Other than Oil and Gas (NAICS 212). Employment for Support Activities for Mining (NAICS 213) is reported as 522. The Utah Department of Workforce Services reports only one firm, with an employment between 5 and 9 persons, in NAICS 212 operating in Duchesne County. By subtraction, employment for Oil and Gas Extraction is between 450 and 454 with an expected value of 452.

well drilling (NAICS 213111) and service companies (NAICS 213112) increased by 46 percent from 2001 to 2006. Well-drilling employment actually declined over the period, though it increased from 2003 to 2006. Well drilling employment can decrease in the Uinta Basin while actual drilling activity increases due to companies located outside of Utah drilling wells in the basin.

The large percentage rise in the number of operating company employees in Uintah County indicates increased industry focus on the Uinta Basin. From 2001 to 2006, the number of persons working for operating companies (NAICS 211) in Uintah County increased by 309 percent. Over the same time frame, the number of establishments in the industry in Uintah County increased from 7 to 12. This is the number of companies reporting employment in the county and does not correspond to the number of companies operating wells in the area. Since much of the work in operating the wells is contracted out to different companies, there are many companies that have wells in the Uinta Basin that do not have full-time employees in the area. Therefore, although only 12 operating companies reported employment in the area during 2006, 54 companies reported production to the Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.

The lack of vertical integration in the E&P industry is demonstrated by the distribution of employment through the three industries involved in oil and gas production. Most of the direct employment in oil and gas production is actually in the oil services industry (NAICS 213112). This industry accounted for 56 percent of E&P employment in the Uinta Basin in 2006. The drilling companies (NAICS 213111) employed 26 percent of the persons working in E&P in the basin during 2006. The operating companies that own the wells and production were responsible for only 18 percent of oil and gas production employment in the Uinta Basin in 2006.

In addition to accounting for a large portion of employment in the Uinta Basin, mining also offers some of the highest paying jobs in the area (Table 9). In both Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Mining jobs pay approximately \$63,000 per year on average. In the two counties, only Utilities in Uintah County pays a higher annual wage. The average Utility position in Uintah County paid \$82,676 in 2006. This is a result of the Deseret Power Bonanza Power Plant located south of Vernal. For comparison, the average Utility job in Duchesne County paid \$31,471 in 2006.

Mining jobs in the two counties pay significantly higher than the average wage in the area. In Duchesne County, the average mining job paid \$63,057 during 2006, 83 percent greater than the average annual wage in the county of \$34,538. Similarly, in Uintah County, the average person working in the mining industry earned \$63,963 during 2006, 64 percent higher than the average wage in the county of \$39,056.

The lowest paying private industries in the two counties are Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting, Educational Services, Arts, Entertainment and Recreation and Accommodation and Food Services. Each of these industries pays an average wage of less than \$20,000 annually in the Uintah Basin.

Table 9 Average Annual Wages by Industry in the Uinta Basin, 2006

	Duchesne County	Uintah County
Private Employment		
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting (NAICS 11)	\$18,232	\$17,530
Mining (NAICS 21)	63,057	63,963
Utilities (NAICS 22)	31,471	82,676
Construction (NAICS 23)	34,223	32,423
Manufacturing (NAICS 31-32)	33,950	25,420
Wholesale Trade (NAICS 42)	43,791	45,875
Retail Trade (NAICS 44-45)	19,062	21,257
Transportation and Warehousing (NAICS 48-49)	51,961	55,044
Information (NAICS 51)	33,893	25,369
Finance and Insurance (NAICS 52)	26,983	32,425
Real Estate (NAICS 53)	19,385	56,548
Professional, Scientific and Technical Services (NAICS 54)	37,440	36,420
Management of Companies and Enterprises (NAICS 55)	ND	ND
Administrative and Support (NAICS 56)	ND	ND
Educational Services (NAICS 61)	3,604	17,603
Health Care (NAICS 62)	31,236	23,552
Arts, Entertainment and Recreation (NAICS 71)	ND	7,411
Accommodation and Food Services (NAICS 72)	ND	10,044
Other Services (NAICS 81)	26,803	27,602
Government Employment	28,618	31,983
All Employment	34,538	39,056
ND: Not disclosed to protect individual company information.		
Source: BLS, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages		

Wages in the E&P industry in the Uinta Basin are higher than the average wage and in line with mining wages in general. Of the three NAICS industries related to E&P, the highest wages are paid by the operating companies (Table 10). The average wage paid by companies in the Oil and Gas Extraction industry (NAICS 211) was \$84,795 in Uintah County during 2006. The data for Duchesne County is not disclosed, but the average wage should be similar to that paid in Uintah County. The oil service companies (NAICS 213112) pay the lowest wages of the three NAICS industries related to E&P activities. However, they are still noticeably above the average wage for the area.

Wages for the three NAICS industries involved in oil and gas E&P have been rising in recent years, reflecting increased demand for labor in the area related to rising production. Since a low in 2002 the average wage paid by the oil service companies

increased by 44 percent in Uintah County and by 25 percent in Duchesne County. Similarly, the average wage paid by drilling companies rose by 54 percent in Uintah County and by 9 percent in Duchesne County. Wages paid by the operating companies are also increasing, with a 59 percent rise from 2002 to 2006 in Uintah County.

Table 10 Oil and Gas E&P Average Annual Wages in the Uinta Basin, 2001-2006

	NAICS 211 Oil and Gas Extraction	NAICS 213111 Drilling Oil and Gas Wells	NAICS 213112 Support Activities for Oil and Gas Operations
Duchesne County			
2001	ND	\$61,423	\$44,412
2002	ND	54,949	42,709
2003	ND	49,464	43,903
2004	ND	51,245	43,270
2005	ND	62,037	48,194
2006	ND	59,726	53,585
Uintah County			
2001	\$98,933	\$46,287	\$44,948
2002	53,149	45,776	40,318
2003	61,838	48,404	44,230
2004	66,627	55,208	47,845
2005	75,598	65,041	49,770
2006	84,795	70,704	58,129
ND: Not disclosed to protect individual company data.			
Source: BLS. Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages			

4 Economic Impacts

While rising energy prices are translating into rising employment and wages in the producing areas, not all of the economic gains are occurring in the oil and gas industry. The total increase in local economic conditions due to oil and gas activity is greater than the direct gain in the industry. This is the “multiplier effect” often referred to in economics and is a result of local spending by the industry for goods and services and spending of wages by the industry’s employees. These additional economic benefits are known as the indirect and induced benefits.

In this study, economic impact is defined as the effect on employment and wages in the subject areas. Additional information on economic impact is available in Section 6 and in several listed references.

4.1 Uinta Basin

The Uinta Basin is the center of the oil and gas E&P industry in Utah. As such, the oil and gas industry is a major factor in the area’s economy and is responsible for

a major portion of employment in the two counties. Direct employment in the E&P industry accounted for nearly 20 percent of total employment and 35 percent of total wages paid during 2006 (Table 11)⁵. Uintah County is more dependent upon the oil and gas industry for employment than is Duchesne County. Many of the company offices are located in Vernal but they do business in both counties.

Table 11 Direct Employment and Wages in the E&P Industry in the Uinta Basin, 2006

	Duchesne County		Uintah County		Uinta Basin Total	
	Employment	Wages, 1,000	Employment	Wages, 1,000	Employment	Wages, 1,000
Total	6,560	\$226,561	13,292	\$519,112	19,852	\$745,683
E&P Industry, Direct	974	66,904	2,985	192,338	3,959	259,242
E&P Industry, percent of total	14.8	29.5	22.5	37.0	19.9	34.8
Source: BLS, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages; author's estimates.						

In addition to the direct employment, additional jobs and wages due to spending by the industry and employees results in significant economic benefits to the Uinta Basin. Other employment due to spending by the E&P industry is not limited to the mining industry but is distributed throughout different industries. Total employment in the Uinta Basin due to the E&P industry, including direct, indirect, and induced, was estimated at 49.5 percent of total jobs in the area in 2006 (Table 12). When examining employment by industry, the oil and gas industry is shown to have significant effects on in several other industries.

The E&P industry is responsible for large portions of employment in Retail Trade, Transportation and Warehousing, Real Estate and Other Services. The RIMS II Input-Output model used to determine economic impacts calculates employment by industry irrespective of type of ownership, i.e., private or government employment. However, the BLS figures do segregate private and government employment. The employment due to the oil and gas industry given in Table 12 includes some government employment in the various industries, not just the private employment. Two of the listed industries have significant government employment in addition to the private employment shown Table 12. They are Educational Services and Health Care and Social Assistance. The RIMS II model classifies employees in public education under Educational Services, so the total number of persons employed in this industry is much greater than the 42 persons in private employment listed in Table 12. Other industries with significant levels of public employment are Health Care and Social Assistance and, to a lesser extent, Utilities and Arts, Entertainment and Recreation.

⁵Total wages for Oil and Gas Extraction (NAICS 211) were not released by the BLS for Duchesne County. Total wages were estimated by multiplying the estimated employment of 452 (see Footnote 4) by the average wage for the industry in Uintah County of \$84,795.

Several industries have no government employment in the Uinta Basin. These industries are Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting, Mining, Manufacturing, Wholesale Trade, Professional, Scientific and Technical Services, Management of Companies and Enterprises, and Accommodation and Food Services. Although there are government employees located in the Uinta Basin to regulate the oil and gas industry, these are not considered part of the Mining industry. The state Division of Oil, Gas and Mining has four employees in the area and there are also several dozen BLM employees dedicated to regulating the industry. For purposes of employment classification, these employees are considered to be employed in NAICS-92 Public Administration, which is included in the government employment in Table 12.

Table 12 Employment Due to Oil and Gas E&P in the Uinta Basin, 2006

	Uinta Basin Total Employment	Total Employment Due to Oil and Gas E&P	Oil and Gas E&P Employment, percent of total
Private Employment			
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting (NAICS 11)	114	14	12.2
Mining (NAICS 21)	4,229	4,020	95.1
Utilities (NAICS 22)	178	33	18.6
Construction (NAICS 23)	1,479	598	40.4
Manufacturing (NAICS 31-32)	375	185	49.3
Wholesale Trade (NAICS 42)	661	145	22.0
Retail Trade (NAICS 44-45)	2,223	1,558	70.1
Transportation and Warehousing (NAICS 48-49)	1,240	875	70.6
Information (NAICS 51)	315	59	18.8
Finance and Insurance (NAICS 52)	299	142	47.4
Real Estate (NAICS 53)	403	307	76.3
Professional, Scientific and Technical Services (NAICS 54)	418	229	54.8
Management of Companies and Enterprises (NAICS 55)	ND	16	NA
Administrative and Support (NAICS 56)	ND	80	NA
Educational Services (NAICS 61)	42	58	138.7
Health Care (NAICS 62)	1,277	626	49.0
Arts, Entertainment and Recreation (NAICS 71)	ND	49	NA
Accommodation and Food Services (NAICS 72)	ND	427	NA
Other Services (NAICS 81)	501	378	75.5
Households	NA	36	NA
Government Employment	4,293	NA	NA
All Employment	19,582	9,835	49.5
<p>Note: There is significant government employment in both Educational Services and Health Care and Social Assistance in the Uinta Basin. The employment calculated using the RIMS II model, which includes government employment, can exceed the private employment in these industries.</p> <p>ND: Nondisclosable. Data are included in the totals. NA: Not Applicable.</p> <p>Source: BLS, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages; author's calculations.</p>			

Oil and gas E&P accounts for over 60 percent of all wages paid in the Uinta Basin (Table 13). The industry is responsible for a higher percentage of wages than employment due to oil and gas E&P paying above average wages. In addition to

Mining, industries with a significant portion of wages due to oil and gas extraction include Manufacturing, Retail Trade, Finance and Insurance, Professional, Scientific and Technical Services, and Other Services. As with employment, the amount of wages reported in Educational Services is greater than the wages paid by private employers in that industry. This is due to public schools accounting for a major portion of the employment in the Educational Services. Public schools are not private employment, but government employment, and so their wages are categorized separately in the BLS figures.

Table 13 Wages Due to Oil and Gas E&P in the Uinta Basin, 2006

	Uinta Basin Total Wages, \$1,000	Total Wages Due to Oil and Gas E&P, \$1,000	Oil and Gas E&P Wages, percent of total
Private Employment			
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting (NAICS 11)	2,027	243	12.0
Mining (NAICS 21)	269,605	263,111	97.6
Utilities (NAICS 22)	12,473	2,959	23.7
Construction (NAICS 23)	49,123	24,547	50.0
Manufacturing (NAICS 31-32)	10,808	7,897	73.1
Wholesale Trade (NAICS 42)	30,033	6,886	22.9
Retail Trade (NAICS 44-45)	45,603	35,053	76.9
Transportation and Warehousing (NAICS 48-49)	66,650	34,377	51.6
Information (NAICS 51)	9,457	3,257	34.4
Finance and Insurance (NAICS 52)	9,058	5,683	62.7
Real Estate (NAICS 53)	20,894	11,872	56.8
Professional, Scientific and Technical Services (NAICS 54)	15,049	11,553	76.8
Management of Companies and Enterprises (NAICS 55)	ND	852	NA
Administrative and Support (NAICS 56)	ND	1,836	NA
Educational Services (NAICS 61)	466	1,195	256.5
Health Care (NAICS 62)	33,508	19,975	59.6
Arts, Entertainment and Recreation (NAICS 71)	ND	892	NA
Accommodation and Food Services (NAICS 72)	ND	5,830	NA
Other Services (NAICS 81)	13,690	9,651	70.5
Households	NA	578	NA
Government Employment	131,529	NA	NA
All Employment	745,683	448,246	60.1
Note: There is significant government employment in both Educational Services and Health Care and Social Assistance in the Uinta Basin. The wages calculated using the RIMS II model, which includes government wages, can exceed the private wages in these industries. ND: Not disclosed, NA: Not Applicable. Source: BLS, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages; author's calculations.			

5 Fiscal Impacts

The oil and gas industry also has fiscal impacts on the local areas. Fiscal impacts refer to impacts on government finances and tax collections. The oil and gas industry is subject to the tax laws common to all business. There are also impacts unique to the industry. Production on federal land is subject to a royalty payment

under the Mineral Lands Leasing Act of 1920. This royalty is paid to the Minerals Management Service, an agency within the U.S. Department of Interior. A portion of the federal mineral royalties is returned to the state of origin. Generally, one-half of federal mineral royalties are returned to the states of origin. Royalties from production on Indian lands are returned to the appropriate tribe, not to the state government. Since a large portion of the crude oil production in Utah occurs on Indian lands, especially in Duchesne and San Juan Counties, the amount of crude oil royalty returned to the state government is significantly less than one-half of the amount paid to the Minerals Management Service. The states have full discretion as to the distribution of federal mineral royalties as long as priority is given to areas with economic and/or social impacts from leasing activities. The Minerals Management Service does not release federal mineral royalty data at the county level, but statewide data are available.

Federal mineral royalties due to oil and gas production in Utah have dramatically increased in recent years, to \$299 million in 2006, a 228 percent rise from \$91 million in 2001 (Table 14). Oil and gas production accounted for 91.3 percent of the royalties paid for mineral production on federal land in Utah during 2006. There was also an additional \$103 million paid in bonus and rents on federal mineral leases. These are fees associated with awarding federal mineral leases and maintaining the leases until production is initiated. Table 14 includes royalties due to oil and gas production, but does not include bonus or rent payments for federal oil and gas leases. Of the nearly \$300 million paid in federal mineral royalties by the oil and gas industry in Utah, \$109 million was returned to the state government.

Table 14 Federal Mineral Royalty Payments and Disbursements for Utah, 2001-2006

	Oil		Natural Gas		Total	
	Royalties	Disbursements	Royalties	Disbursements	Royalties	Disbursements
2001	\$32,799,794	\$4,392,667	\$58,553,527	\$26,210,621	\$91,353,321	\$30,603,288
2002	26,028,911	3,493,794	37,653,050	11,921,373	63,681,961	15,415,167
2003	37,462,357	5,575,810	55,369,036	26,040,706	92,831,293	31,616,515
2004	45,743,590	7,235,629	87,075,857	38,228,494	132,819,447	45,464,122
2005	66,900,212	10,405,687	118,132,687	53,647,636	185,032,900	64,053,323
2006	106,457,298	21,866,066	193,416,183	87,551,457	299,873,481	109,417,522
Note: Years are federal fiscal years. Natural gas includes natural gas liquids from gas processing plants.						
Source: Minerals Management Service						

In Utah, federal mineral royalties are distributed to several different accounts according to state law (Table 15). The largest recipients of federal mineral royalties in Utah are the Permanent Community Impact Fund and the Department of Transportation. The funds distributed to the Department of Transportation are then distributed to local governments to fund local highways in proportion to the amount of mineral lease money generated by each county. The Permanent Community

Impact Fund makes loans and grants to state agencies and subdivisions of state government impacted by mineral resource development. Unlike the funds administered by the Department of Transportation, which are distributed in proportion to royalties generated in the county, the Permanent Community Impact Fund is distributed by a state-appointed board in response to proposals submitted by local governments. Therefore, the distribution of funds by the Permanent Community Impact Fund to the various counties may vary from the amount of royalty generated. The payments in lieu of taxes cited in Table 15 are not the payments in lieu of taxes made by the federal government for federal land in Utah but are payments made by the state government to counties for lands controlled by the School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration, state Division of Parks and Recreation and the state Division of Wildlife Resources.

Table 15 Distribution of Federal Mineral Royalties in Utah

	Percent
Permanent Community Impact Fund	32.50
State Board of Education	2.25
Utah Geological Survey	2.25
Water Research Laboratory	2.25
Department of Transportation	40.00
Department of Community and Culture	5.00
Payments in Lieu of Taxes	52 cents per acre
Permanent Community Impact Fund	Remainder
Note: The amount paid for Payments in Lieu of Taxes has been adjusted annually since 1994 according to the Consumer Price Index.	
Source: Utah State Code, Title 59, Chapter 21.	

The School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration (SITLA) controls mineral rights on approximately 4.4 million acres in Utah. These lands are held in trust for the public schools in Utah and 11 other beneficiaries and were established at statehood and through land exchanges with the federal government. During 2006, royalties paid for oil and gas extraction on SITLA lands were \$82.7 million. This was 51.0 percent of total SITLA revenue for 2006. These funds are not returned to the county of origin, but are placed in a permanent fund managed by the state treasurer on behalf of the public schools as a beneficiary or distributed to the appropriate beneficiary as mandated. Dividends and interest from the Public School Fund are distributed annually to all Utah public schools based on an established formula.

In addition to royalties, there is an oil and gas severance tax in Utah and an oil and gas conservation fee which are levied on all production in the state. The Oil and Gas Severance Tax is placed in the state general fund and the tax rate varies from 3 to 5 percent of the sales price. The Oil and Gas Conservation Fee funds the state Division of Oil, Gas and Mining. The fee is imposed at a rate of 0.2 percent of the value of production.

Both the Oil and Gas Severance Tax and the Oil and Gas Conservation Fee have significantly increased in recent years (Table 16). The Oil and Gas Severance Tax increased by 82 percent from 2001 to 2006 while the Oil and Gas Conservation Fee increased by 102 percent. The drop from 2001 to 2002 was due to the wellhead price of natural gas produced in Utah dropping from \$3.52 per MCF in 2001 to \$1.99 per MCF in 2002. These data reflect statewide oil and gas operations and are not specific to the Uinta Basin.

Table 16 State Tax Collections Related to Oil and Gas Production, 2001-2006

	Oil and Gas Severance Tax	Oil and Gas Conservation Fee
2001	\$39,357,798	\$2,748,318
2002	18,893,082	1,710,219
2003	26,745,279	1,943,755
2004	36,659,808	2,696,250
2005	53,484,320	3,631,963
2006	71,513,869	5,560,449
Note: Years are state fiscal years.		
Source: Utah State Tax Commission		

5.1 Uinta Basin

The largest direct fiscal impacts on the Uinta Basin due to oil and gas operations in the area are property taxes paid by the operating companies and federal mineral royalties distributed to the local governments by the Utah Department of Transportation. The Utah State Tax Commission centrally assesses oil and gas properties using a net present value approach applied to future production. The local county treasurers bill and collect the taxes. Property taxes are levied by numerous units of local government, including county and city governments, school districts, and special service districts.

Property taxes paid on oil and gas properties are a significant portion of total property taxes in the Uinta Basin (Table 16). During 2006, the oil and gas industry paid nearly 40 percent of total property taxes in the two Uinta Basin counties. Table 16 refers to all property taxes paid to various government entities in the two counties, not just the county governments. As prices of crude oil and natural gas have increased in recent years, the net present value of future production has increased. This, coupled with rising production, has resulted in the amount of property taxes paid by the oil and gas industry in the Uinta Basin increasing by nearly four times over the past 10 years, not adjusting for inflation. Oil and gas property taxes have been rising faster in Uintah County than in Duchesne County, reflecting rising natural gas production in the county. Property taxes paid on oil and gas production increased by 440 percent in Uintah County from 1997 to 2006, and by 122 percent in Duchesne County. Given the rising production and expected

continuation of current energy prices, the property taxes paid by the oil and gas production industry in the Uinta Basin should continue to rise into the future.

Table 17 Oil and Gas Property Tax Payments in the Uinta Basin, 1997-2006

	Duchesne County		Uintah County		Uinta Basin Total	
	Oil & Gas Property Tax	Percent of Total Property Tax	Oil & Gas Property Tax	Percent of Total Property Tax	Oil & Gas Property Tax	Percent of Total Property Tax
1997	\$2,412,970	27.2	\$2,389,667	15.7	\$4,802,637	20.0
1998	2,353,888	27.9	2,858,447	18.1	5,212,335	21.5
1999	1,561,466	21.3	2,309,639	15.6	3,871,105	17.5
2000	1,749,689	19.7	2,579,728	16.9	4,329,417	17.9
2001	2,221,385	23.1	3,449,316	20.8	5,670,701	21.7
2002	1,773,249	18.4	4,054,227	22.5	5,827,476	21.1
2003	1,739,101	17.2	4,276,125	21.9	6,015,226	20.3
2004	2,407,040	21.8	5,985,003	25.3	8,392,043	24.2
2005	3,640,044	27.8	8,241,224	33.0	11,881,268	31.2
2006	5,358,661	33.9	12,895,362	41.1	18,254,024	38.7

Source: Utah State Tax Commission, Property Tax Division Annual Reports

The funds generated through federal mineral royalties that are returned to the Uinta Basin through the Utah Department of Transportation are also a significant source of revenue for the local governments. These funds actually exceed the amount of property tax paid by the oil and gas industry. During 2006, Duchesne and Uintah Counties collectively received \$30 million dollars in federal mineral royalties returned to them by the Department of Transportation. This was a 296 percent increase over the amount returned in 2001.

Table 18 Federal Mineral Royalties Returned by UDOT to the Uinta Basin, 2001-2006

	Duchesne County	Uintah County	Uinta Basin Total
2001	\$789,854	\$6,856,410	\$7,646,264
2002	718,112	3,031,081	3,749,193
2003	678,705	6,893,486	7,572,192
2004	931,428	11,767,611	12,699,038
2005	1,903,292	16,704,532	18,607,824
2006	2,750,055	27,500,128	30,250,182

Note: Years are state fiscal years.
Source: Utah Department of Transportation

Table 18 includes data on all royalties from federal mineral leases in Utah, not just oil and gas operations. Although there are some other federal mineral leases in the Uinta Basin, notably gilsonite, by far the majority of royalties are due to oil and gas production.

Royalties paid to SITLA due to production of oil and gas in the Uinta Basin rose significantly from 2005 to 2006 (Table 18). In 2005, oil and gas production in the Uinta Basin resulted in \$23 million in SITLA royalties. Rising production and prices resulted in a 54 percent increase in 2006, with over \$34 million in SITLA royalties paid.

Table 19 Royalties Paid for Production on SITLA Lands in the Uinta Basin, 2005-2006

	Duchesne County	Uintah County	Uinta Basin Total
2005	\$2,976,668	\$19,990,367	\$22,967,035
2006	2,686,706	32,720,101	35,407,575
Note: Years are state fiscal years.			
Source: School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration			

State personal income taxes as a result of oil and gas E&P activities in the Uinta Basin is estimated at just over \$18 million for 2006 (Table 20).

Table 20 Personal State Income Taxes due to Oil and Gas E&P in the Uinta Basin

	Uinta Basin Total
Total Wages due to Oil and Gas E&P, \$1,000	\$448,246
Personal State Income Taxes, \$1,000	18,026
Source: Author's Calculations. Details of the estimation are in Section 6.	

6 Technical Notes and Methodology

Industries are classified by economists according to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), which was developed by the Office of Management and Budget in cooperation with other federal agencies and foreign governments (Office of Management and Budget, 2002). The NAICS codes replaced the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Codes that had been used since the 1930s. This change was prompted by structural changes in the U.S. economy, with the services sector becoming a much larger portion of the economy and more complex than when the SIC codes were developed. In the switch, the 10 major industrial sectors under the SIC codes were replaced with 20 major sectors under the NAICS Codes. Many of the industrial sectors under the SIC codes were split among two or more of the redefined sectors under the NAICS codes, making comparisons difficult. The NAICS codes better explain the structure of the current economy but make time series data difficult to compile.

Under the NAICS system, companies are classified under 20 major industrial categories and the categories are further subdivided as needed. There are three classifications directed related to the oil and gas exploration and production industry.

These are NAICS 211 – Oil and Gas Extraction, NAICS 213111 – Drilling Oil and Gas Wells, and NAICS 213112 – Support Activities for Oil and Gas Operations. These three classifications cover the operating companies, drilling companies, and service companies, respectively. For this study, we are considering them collectively as the oil and gas E&P industry.

Other local businesses and industries benefit from E&P activities. Examples of these are seismic companies, regulatory and environmental consulting firms, consulting geologists, trenching and dirtwork, and utilities providing electricity. Other benefits accrue to local hotels and restaurants as a result of spending by visiting workers. These types of effects are referred to as the indirect and induced impacts. The indirect and induced impacts can be calculated from the value of transactions between the E&P industry and these other businesses using input-output economic models.

6.1 NAICS Codes Related to Oil and Natural Gas Production

For this study, we are considering the following three NAICS classifications collectively as the oil and gas E&P industry. The definitions listed are those developed by the Office of Management and Budget.

NAICS 211 – Oil and Gas Extraction Industries in the Oil and Gas Extraction subsector operate and/or develop oil and gas field properties. Such activities may include exploration for crude petroleum and natural gas; drilling, completing, and equipping wells; operation of separators, emulsion breakers, desilting equipment and field gathering lines for crude petroleum and natural gas; and all other activities in the preparation of oil and gas up to the point of shipment from the producing property. The subsector includes the production of crude petroleum, the mining and extraction of oil from oil shale and oil sands, and the production of natural gas, sulfur recovery from natural gas, and recovery of hydrocarbon liquids.

Establishments in this subsector include those that operate oil and gas wells on their own account and for others on a contract or fee basis. Establishments primarily engaged in providing support services, on a fee or contract basis, required for the drilling or operation of oil and gas wells (except geophysical surveying and mapping, mine site preparation, and construction of oil/gas pipelines) are classified in Subsector 213, Support Activities for Mining.

NAICS 213111 – Drilling Oil and Gas Wells This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in drilling oil and gas wells for others on a contract or fee basis. This industry includes contractors that specialize in spudding in, drilling in, re-drilling, and directional drilling.

NAICS 213112 – Support Activities for Oil and Gas Operations This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in performing support activities on a contract or fee basis for oil and gas operations (except site preparation and related activities). Services included are exploration (except geophysical surveying and mapping); excavating slush pits and cellars; well surveying; running, cutting, and pulling casings, tubes, and rods; cementing wells, shooting wells; perforating well casings; acidizing and chemically treating wells; and cleaning out, bailing, and swabbing wells.

6.2 Economic Impact Modeling

Economic impacts on an economy arise from exogenous sources or activities that result in new funds being injected into the economy. Examples include are products that are exported and new construction funding. It is important for outside funds to be injected into a regional economy for economic impacts to occur. If an activity is financed by funds from inside a regional economy, known as residentiary spending, then the funds are diverted from one industrial sector to another and there is no net multiplier effect or economic impact. Crude oil and natural gas from the producing areas in Utah are exported to refineries and markets in other portions of the country. Exporting oil and gas results in an inflow of funds which creates a positive economic impact on the area.

In this study, economic impact is used to mean the impact of oil and gas E&P activities on the amount of employment and wages paid in the various producing regions in Utah. Many similar studies present the total economic output of an activity as the economic impact; this is the sum of all transactions in a supply chain and can be much larger than the value of the final good or service provided to the end consumer. Similarly, many authors apply economic output multipliers to all spending related to an activity, with no distinction between export-based and residentiary spending. The result is often termed “economic contribution” and presented as economic impact. As with all economic output calculations, the result is much larger than the value of the final product delivered to an end consumer.

The oil and gas exploration and production industry has a direct impact on the local economy through employment and wages paid. In addition, there are additional indirect and induced impacts. Indirect impacts result from local spending by the E&P industry and induced impacts arise from employees of the E&P industry spending their earnings.

Examples of indirect impacts are employment and wages at seismic companies, regulatory and environmental consulting firms, consulting geologists, trenching and dirtwork, and utilities providing electricity. Other benefits accrue to local hotels and restaurants as a result of spending by visiting workers. The indirect and induced

impacts can be calculated from the value of transactions between the E&P industry and these other businesses.

The RIMS II Input-Output model developed by the Bureau of Economic Analysis was used to determine the indirect and induced economic impacts of the oil and gas exploration and production industry in the Uinta Basin. The RIMS II model is based on an accounting framework called an input-output table. From each industry, an input-output table shows the industrial distribution of inputs purchased and outputs sold. The Bureau of Economic Analysis has developed a national input-output table (Bureau of Economic Analysis, 1997). To develop region-specific input-output tables, the national input-output table is modified using regional economic data. The producer portion of the input-output table is modified using location quotients at the six-digit NAICS level based on personal income data for service-producing industries and wage and salary data for nonservice-producing industries. Household data is modified to account for commuting across regional boundaries and savings and taxes. Once the national input-output table is regionalized, the multipliers are estimated through use of matrix algebra. The RIMS II model estimates the employment and wage impacts by major NAICS industry.

Data on spending by the E&P industry in the Uinta Basin was obtained via a survey of operating, drilling and service companies operating in the area. Personnel with the Bureau of Economic and Business Research at the University of Utah cooperated with the Independent Petroleum Association of the Mountain States (IPAMS) to develop survey forms with input from several representatives of the petroleum industry. IPAMS distributed the survey forms to operating, drilling and service companies operating in the Uinta Basin and the forms were returned to the Bureau of Economic and Business Research. Data from returned survey forms was totaled by spending category. Using data on total production of oil and gas, number of wells spudded and employment reported by government agencies, the total spending reported by responding companies was expanded to total industry spending in the region. The multipliers from the RIMS II model were then applied to the total spending by category to determine the indirect and induced employment and wages.

State income tax impacts were estimated by calculating the ratio of the Utah income tax liability for Duchesne and Uintah Counties to the total of the total earnings by place of work for the two counties as determined by the Bureau of Economic Analysis. This average of this ratio for the years 2003 through 2005 was 4.02 percent. This ratio was then applied to the total estimated earnings due to oil and gas E&P in the Uinta Basin of \$448,246 thousand to estimate the state personal income tax.

7 References

- Bureau of Economic Analysis. 2007.** Local Area Personal Income. <http://www.bea.gov/regional/reis/>. Downloaded Nov. 6, 2007.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2007.** Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages. <http://stats.bls.gov/cew/home.htm>. Downloaded Sept. 9, 2007.
- Energy Information Administration. 2007.** *Short-Term Energy Outlook September 2007*. <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/steo/pub/contents.html>. Downloaded Sept. 11, 2007.
- Isard, W., I.J. Azis, M.P., Drennan, R.E. Miller, S. Saltzman, and E. Throbecke. 1998.** *Methods of Interregional and Regional Analysis*. Ashgate Publishing Limited. 490 pp.
- Minerals Management Service. 2007.** MRM Statistical Information. <http://www.mrm.mms.gov/MRMWebStats/default.aspx>. Downloaded Oct. 3, 2007.
- Office of Management and Budget. 1997.** *North American Industry Classification System*. 1247 pp.
- Schaffer, W. A. 1999.** *Regional Impact Models*. West Virginia University Regional Research Institute. <http://www.rrr.wvu.edu/WebBook/Schaffer/index.html>. Accessed July 18, 2007. 80 pp.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 2007.** Subcounty Population Data Sets. <http://www.census.gov/popest/cities/SUB-EST2006-states.html>. Downloaded Sept. 12, 2007.
- Utah Department of Transportation. 2007.** Mineral Lease Distributions. <http://www.dot.utah.gov/main/f?p=100:pg:11808295696151236794:::1:T,V:135,>. Downloaded Oct. 4, 2007.
- Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining. 2007.** Production Reports. <http://oilgas.ogm.utah.gov/Publications/Publications.htm>. Downloaded Sept. 12, 2007.
- Utah Geological Survey. 2006.** *Utah! 100 Years of Exploration and Still the Place to Find Oil and Gas*. Public Information Series 71. nonpaginated.
- Utah Governor's Office of Planning and Budget. 2000.** *Federal Land Payments in Utah*. <http://governor.utah.gov/dea/Publications/Report.pdf>. Downloaded Sept. 11, 2007.

Utah State Tax Commission. 2007. Revenue Reports (TC-23).
<http://www.tax.utah.gov/esu/revenue/index.html>. Downloaded Nov. 5, 2007.

Utah State Tax Commission. 2007. Utah Income and Corporate Tax Statistics.
<http://www.tax.utah.gov/esu/income/index.html>. Downloaded Nov. 2, 2007.